arXiv:1703.07983v1 [math.FA] 23 Mar 2017

A distance formula related to a family of projections orthogonal to their symmetries

Ilya M. Spitkovsky

Division of Science, New York University Abu Dhabi (NYUAD)
Saadiyat Island, P.O. Box 129188 Abu Dhabi, UAE

Abstract

Let u be a hermitian involution, and e an orthogonal projection, acting on the same Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We establish the exact formula, in terms of $\|eue\|$, for the distance from e to the set of all orthogonal projections q from the algebra generated by e, u, and such that $quq = 0$.

Keywords: orthogonal projection, involution, C^*-algebra, W^*-algebra
2010 MSC: 47A05, 47A30

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ stand for the C^*-algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$. Given a hermitian involution $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, denote by $\mathcal{Q}_u$ the set of all orthogonal projections $q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which $quq = 0$.

Theorem 1.2 of [1] can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let $e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an orthogonal projection such that*

$$\|eue\| < \xi (\approx 0.455).$$

Then there exists $q \in \mathcal{Q}_u$ for which

$$\|e - q\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|eue\| + 4\|eue\|^2. \tag{1}$$

Further, q is in the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generated by e, ueu^*.

Note that the distance between any two orthogonal projections does not exceed one. So, estimate (1) is useful only when $\|eue\|$ is smaller than the positive root of $8x^2 + x - 2$, that is, approximately 0.441.

We will provide an explicit formula for the distance from e to the intersection of $\mathcal{Q}_u$ with the W^*-algebra $\mathcal{W}(e, u)$ generated by e, u, as well as for the element on which this distance is attained. No a priori restriction on $\|eue\|$ is needed, and the respective q indeed lies in the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(e, ueu^*)$ generated by e, ueu^* whenever $\|eue\| < 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let $e, u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be, respectively, an orthogonal projection and a hermitian involution. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ the eigenspace of u corresponding to its eigenvalue ± 1. Then the distance d from e to $\mathcal{Q}_u \cap \mathcal{W}(e, u)$ is one if the range of e has a non-trivial intersection with $\mathcal{H}_+$ or $\mathcal{H}_-$, and is given by the formula*

$$d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \|eue\|^2}\right)} \tag{2}$$

otherwise.

For small values of $\|eue\|$, it is instructive to compare (1) with the Taylor expansion of (2):

$$d = \frac{1}{2}\|eue\| + \frac{1}{16}\|eue\|^3 + \cdots$$



Figure 1: Estimate (1) versus formula (2) as functions of $\|eue\|$

2. Proof of the main result

Using the canonical representation [2] (see also [3] or a more recent survey [4]) of the pair $e, (u+I)/2$ of orthogonal projections, we can find an orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$ into six summands,

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_{00} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{01} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{11} \oplus (\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}), \tag{3}$$

with respect to which

$$\begin{aligned} u =& I \oplus I \oplus (-I) \oplus (-I) \oplus \operatorname{diag}[I, -I], \\ e =& I \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus I \oplus \begin{bmatrix} H & \sqrt{H(I-H)} \\ \sqrt{H(I-H)} & I-H \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

(Here and in what follows we use the notation $\operatorname{diag}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ for block diagonal matrices with $X_1, \dots X_k$ as their diagonal blocks.) Note that in (3) the subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{00}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{11}$ (resp, $\mathcal{M}_{01}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$) are the intersections of the range (resp, the kernel) of e with $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$. The (hermitian) operator H is the compression of e onto $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{H}_+ \ominus (\mathcal{M}_{00} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{01})$. By construction, H has its spectrum Δ lying in $[0, 1]$ and $0, 1$ are not its eigenvalues.

Elements of $\mathcal{W}(e, u)$ with respect to the same decomposition (3) look as

$$q = a_{00} I \oplus a_{01} I \oplus a_{10} I \oplus a_{11} I \oplus \Phi(H), \tag{5}$$

where $\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{00} & \phi_{01} \\ \phi_{10} & \phi_{11} \end{bmatrix}$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, and the functions ϕ_{ij} are Borel-measurable and essentially bounded on Δ, in the sense of the spectral measure of H ([5], see also [3, 4]). Consequently, $q \in \mathcal{W}(e, u)$ is an orthogonal projection if and only if $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$, the functions ϕ_{00}, ϕ_{11} are real-valued, while ϕ_{01}, ϕ_{10} are complex conjugate, and

$$\phi_{00} - \phi_{00}^2 = \phi_{11} - \phi_{11}^2 = |\phi_{01}|^2, \quad (\phi_{00} + \phi_{11} - 1)\phi_{01} = 0. \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, direct computations immediately reveal that condition $quq = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\phi_{00}^2 = \phi_{11}^2 = \phi_{01}\phi_{10}, \quad (\phi_{00} - \phi_{11})\phi_{01} = (\phi_{00} - \phi_{11})\phi_{10} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Solving the system of equations (6)–(7) yields

$$\phi_{00} = \phi_{01} = \frac{1}{2}\chi, \ \phi_{01} = \frac{1}{2}\chi\omega, \ \phi_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\chi\overline{\omega}$$

with χ being a characteristic function of some subset of Δ and unimodular ω.

So, elements of $\mathcal{Q}_u \cap \mathcal{W}(e, u)$ have the form

$$q = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \chi & \chi\omega \\ \chi\overline{\omega} & \chi \end{bmatrix} (H). \tag{8}$$

The rest of the reasoning depends on whether or not the subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{00}$, $\mathcal{M}_{11}$ are actually present in the decomposition (3).

Case 1. At least one of the subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{00}$, $\mathcal{M}_{11}$ is different from zero, that is, the range of e contains some eigenvectors of u.

Since for any q of the form (8) the restriction of $e - q$ on $\mathcal{M}_{00} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{11}$ is the identity, we then have $\|e - q\| = 1$. Consequently, $d = 1$. Note that in this case also $\|eue\| = 1$.

Case 2. $\mathcal{M}_{00} = \mathcal{M}_{11} = \{0\}$. Since both e given by (4) and q given by (8) have zero restrictions onto $\mathcal{M}_{01} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{11}$, we may without loss of generality suppose that in place of (3) simply $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$, and respectively

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} H & \sqrt{H(I-H)} \\ \sqrt{H(I-H)} & I-H \end{bmatrix}, \quad q = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} \chi & \chi\omega \\ \chi\overline{\omega} & \chi \end{bmatrix}(H). \tag{9}$$

So, $e - q = \Phi_{\chi,\omega}(H)$, where

$$\Phi_{\chi,\omega}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t - \frac{1}{2}\chi(t) & \sqrt{t(1-t)} - \frac{1}{2}\chi(t)\omega(t) \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} - \frac{1}{2}\chi(t)\overline{\omega(t)} & 1 - t - \frac{1}{2}\chi(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|e - q\| = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t\in\Delta} \lambda_{\chi,\omega}(t),$$

where $\lambda_{\chi,\omega}(t)$ is the positive eigenvalue of $\Phi_{\chi,\omega}(t)$, and *ess* is understood in the sense of the spectral measure of H.

If $\chi(t) = 0$ for some $t \in \Delta$, then the respective $\lambda_{\chi,\omega}(t)$ equals one, guaranteeing $\|e - q\| = 1$. We should concentrate therefore on elements q with $\chi(t) \equiv 1$. Then we have

$$\Phi_{1,\omega}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t - \frac{1}{2} & \sqrt{t(1-t)} - \frac{1}{2}\omega(t) \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\omega(t)} & \frac{1}{2} - t \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\lambda_{1,\omega}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{t(1-t)}\,\operatorname{Re}\omega(t)}.$$

Since ω is unimodular, to minimize $\lambda_{1,\omega}(t)$ for any given t we should take $\omega(t) = 1$. The respective element q is simply

$$q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ I & I \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

$\lambda_{1,1}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{t(1-t)}}$, and

$$\|e - q_0\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \min_{t\in\Delta}\sqrt{t(1-t)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \max_{t\in\Delta}|2t-1|^2}\right)}.$$

In order to justify (2), it remains only to observe that

$$\max_{t\in\Delta}|2t-1| = \|eue\|. \tag{11}$$

But this is indeed the case, since $eue = \Phi(H)$ with the matrix

$$\Phi(t) = (2t-1)\begin{bmatrix} t & \sqrt{t(1-1)} \\ \sqrt{t(1-1)} & 1-t \end{bmatrix},$$

the eigenvalues of which are zero and $2t - 1$.

3. Additional comments

1. Recall [6] that elements of C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(e,u)$ generated by e and u are those of the form (5) for which the functions ϕ_{ij} are continuous on Δ and such that $\phi_{01}(j) = \phi_{10}(j) = 0$, $a_{ij} = \phi_{ii}(j)$ if $j \in \Delta$ $(i,j = 0,1)$. From (10) we therefore conclude that the element $q_0 \in \mathcal{W}(e,u)$ on which the distance from e to $\mathcal{Q}_u$ is attained does not lie in $\mathcal{C}(e,u)$ if the spectrum of H contains 0 or 1.

On the other hand, due to (11) condition $\|eue\| < 1$ guarantees that $0, 1 \notin \Delta$, and thus the invertibility of the operator $H(I-H)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{00} = \mathcal{M}_{11} = \{0\}$, as was observed in Section 2. So, without loss of generality e is given by the first formula in (9), while $u = \mathrm{diag}[I, -I]$. From here:

$$z := \frac{1}{2}(e - ueu^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{H(I-H)} \\ \sqrt{H(I-H)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}(e, ueu^*),$$

$z^2 = \mathrm{diag}[H(I-H), H(I-H)]$ is positive definite and also lies in $\mathcal{C}(e,ueu^*)$, and therefore so does $(z^2)^{-1/2} = \mathrm{diag}[((H(I-H))^{-1/2}, (H(I-H))^{-1/2}]$. Along with z and $(z^2)^{-1/2}$, the algebra $\mathcal{C}(e,ueu^*)$ contains their product

$$z(z^2)^{-1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We conclude from (10) that $q_0 = \frac{1}{2}(I + z(z^2)^{-1/2}) \in \mathcal{C}(e, ueu^*)$.

2. The distances from e to the sets $\mathcal{Q}_u$ and $\mathcal{Q}_u \cap \mathcal{W}(e,u)$ may not coincide. To illustrate, consider $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^3$, $u = \mathrm{diag}[1,1,-1]$ and $e = \mathrm{diag}[1,0,0]$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_u$ consists of zero and all matrices of the form

$$q_{x,y} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} |x|^2 & x\overline{y} & x \\ \overline{x}y & |y|^2 & y \\ \overline{x} & \overline{y} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

with the parameters $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|x|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$. An easy computation shows that

$$\|e - q_{x,y}\| = \sqrt{(1+|y|^2)/2}.$$

So, the distance from e to $\mathcal{Q}_u$ equals $1/\sqrt{2}$ and is attained on all the matrices $q_{\omega,0}$ with $|\omega| = 1$, that is, having the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \omega \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \overline{\omega} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, the algebra generated by e and u consists simply of all 3-by-3 diagonal matrices. The only diagonal matrix lying in $\mathcal{Q}_u$ is 0, and $d = 1$ in full agreement with Theorem 2.

4. Acknowledgments

The author was supported in part by Faculty Research funding from the Division of Science and Mathematics, New York University Abu Dhabi.

References

[1] S. Walters, Projection operators nearly orthogonal to their symmetries, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 446 (2) (2017) 1356–1361.

[2] P. L. Halmos, Two subspaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969) 381–389.

[3] I. M. Spitkovsky, Once more on algebras generated by two projections, Linear Algebra Appl. 208/209 (1994) 377–395.

[4] A. Böttcher, I. M. Spitkovsky, A gentle guide to the basics of two projections theory, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (6) (2010) 1412–1459.

[5] R. Giles, H. Kummer, A matrix representation of a pair of projections in a Hilbert space, Canad. Math. Bull. 14 (1) (1971) 35–44.

[6] N. Vasilevsky, I. Spitkovsky, On the algebra generated by two projections, Doklady Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Ser. A 8 (1981) 10–13.